In the Capting panel would the bough particular, this question all since Descartes and Loc of Data and Loc of L

Therited has been and the wandering of the texts through which we are mad **Levs he Bryann** troblems of philosophy. The list could be particular orientation of thought as to how the project of critique is to be critique? Each mode of critique appears equally plausible and equally into temperament, political orientation, and interest without any necessit



on temperament, political orientation, and interest without any necessit were PUD II Cseles ECTUME vely and pre-critically as first philosophers, pretending that the last three hundred years of philosophy had not taken place or that the proper point of entry into philosophical speculation was not the question of access? In proceeding in this way we would not deny ourselves the right to

or that the proper point of entry into philosophical speculation was not the question of access? In proceeding in this way we would not deny ourselves the right to feed and the Cartography of the Cartography of the imperative to begin with the project of critique. In short, what if we were to bracket the project of critique and questions of access, and proceed in our

our conscious give us the res framework that an experiment to see where it might lead. At the very least, such a naïve questions we have inherited, thereby opening up new possibilities of the

Thursday

February 27

tions of philosophy w**February** 27 or another they all rees to be ordered or hier 7:30-9:00 ifferences related to on a difference that does not make a difference. Alternatively, let us beg Edmon Low Library Reading Room

th a *normative* judgment or a statement of *value*. It is not being cla afference that does not make a difference is an *ontological* claim. The

produce a difference.

important to t



LesSponsored by the Arts and Humanities Lecture Series ustralia: re.p