

Comprehensive Report

2020 - 2021

Program (CAS) - GEOG - Geospatial Information Sciences (BS) - 510

General Information

Program Mission Statement

The Department of Geography advances geography instruction, research and extension to promote and maintain a growing statewide workforce skilled in geographic research and applications. The Department of Geography will achieve national and international stature in scholarly and creative activities to enhance the visibility and desirability of the geography program at Oklahoma State University, and capitalize on new opportunities and respond to the changing needs of Oklahomans and society to provide Oklahoma schools, universities, industries and businesses, and those in the surrounding region, with the highest caliber professionals in the field of geography.

In serving BS in GISci students, the Department of Geography will provide a broad-based education and focused learning experience emphasizing geographic information systems, the Global Positioning System and remote sensing technologies that will enable students to pursue careers in private industry, government or non-profit organizations or pursue graduate studies. In addition, since 2005, the U.S. Department of Labor has undertaken the development of competencies related to the use of geospatial technologies. Therefore, course and program learning outcomes integrate these competencies.

Program Information

Program Information - 2020 - 2021

Program Four Column Report

Outcomes

Knowledge - Students will be able to think geographically. More specifically, program graduates will demonstrate an ability to identify, interpret, and reason analytically about spatial patterns and their possible causes and consequences.

Outcome Status: Active Planned Assessment Year: 2017 - 2018, 2019 - 2020, 2016 - 2017

Start Date: Archived Date:

Outcome Type: Knowledge Reason for Archival:

Assessment Methods

GEOG 3333 is taught once per year (currently in the fall) and the instructor will assess all GSIS majors enrolled in the course. GEOG 4323 is taught once per year (currently in the spring) and the instructor will assess all GSIS majors enrolled in the course. No student action or active participation is necessary. There was 1 student enrolled in GEOG 3333 in Fall 2016. There was 2 students enrolled in GEOG 4323 in Spring 2017. Evaluation rubrics are distributed to each instructor of a required (core) course near the end of each semester, with the students' names and each course's stated student learning outcomes listed. Instructors rate each student on a 0-4 scale for each outcome (0 for minimal to no mastery of the outcome, 4 for maximal mastery), as described in the department Undergraduate Assessment Plan and as assessed by the instructors of each core course. Average scores for each course and learning outcome are determined each vear. Rubric items are 3 assessed independently on the 0-4 scale separately from specific grades earned in the class. Instructors primarily base their evaluations on individual assignments and term projects in the pertinent courses, identifying specific assignments or components that meet the major course learning goals, and they are encouraged to rate students on the individual learning outcomes prior to determining final course grades.

* Learning Outcome

Findings

Reporting Period: 2020 - 2021 Conclusion: 3 - Meets Program

Expectations (Proficient) Both students who qualified for assessment were minimally proficient in the overall course for GEOG 3333, holding true across all 5 Outcomes. Despite having 5 GSIS students compared to 3 GEOG BS students in GEOG 4323, the GSIS students performed much worse than the GEOG majors. This is an unexpected and unacceptable outcome. Students in 4323 were minimally proficient ranking at 3.07 across all Outcomes. Most profoundly they struggled in Outcome 6 which is the ability to critique and analyze other cartographic work. There they ranked 2.6 with individual scores as low as 1. While much of this can be attributed to a couple students, the scores could be higher if other students had performed beyond 'proficient'. Based on this score alone, there is some evidence that these skills are more Developing than Proficient and is something we should look into further. To end on a bright spot, all but one student scored Advanced in Outcome 5 which is the ability to understand and input spatial data.(09/27/2021)

Number of Students Assessed:

7

Number of Successful

Students: 7

How were students selected to participate in the assessment of this outcome?: Enrolled in the courses and completed the coursework

What do the findings suggest about student achievement of

Use of Findings (Actions):

Under no circumstances should GEOG 4323 be removed from the GSIS degree. In fact, there is evidence that students need more work with the connections between Mapping and Society.(09/27/2021)

Outcomes

Assessment Methods

Findings

Use of Findings (Actions)

Goal/Benchmark: Timeline for Assessment:

Yearly

Other Assessment Type:

this learning outcome?: GSIS students perhaps because of their focus on spatial analysis rather than cartographic representation struggled with higher order Learning

Outcomes.

Technical Skills - Demonstrate technical skills and an understanding of the basic concepts in: collection and analysis of spatial data, computer cartography geographic information systems (GIS), the Global Positioning System and remote sensing.

Outcome Status: Active **Planned Assessment Year:** 2017 - 2018, 2019 - 2020, 2016 - 2017

Start Date: Archived Date:

Outcome Type: Skills Reason for Archival:

Both GEOG 2344 and GEOG 4203 are taught twice per year, once in the fall and once in the spring. GEOG 4343 and 4353 are taught once per year (currently in the fall) and GEOG 4333 is taught once per year (currently in the spring). The instructor will assess all GSIS majors enrolled in each section of these courses. Due to the fact that the GSIS major started in Spring 2016 and that GEOG 4343 and GEOG 4353 are advanced courses, there were no GSIS students enrolled in GEOG 4343 and GEOG 4353 during the 2016-2017 academic year. Evaluation rubrics are distributed to each instructor of a required (core) course near the end of each semester, with the students' names and each course's stated student learning outcomes listed. Instructors rate each student on a 0-4 scale for each outcome (0 for minimal to no mastery of the outcome, 4 for maximal mastery), as described in the department Undergraduate Assessment Plan and as assessed by the instructors of each core course. Average scores for each course and learning outcome are determined each year. Rubric items are assessed Students: 15 independently on the 0-4 scale separately from specific grades earned in the class. Instructors primarily 7 base their evaluations on individual assignments and term projects in the pertinent courses, identifying specific assignments or components that meet the major course

Reporting Period: 2020 - 2021 Conclusion: 4 - Exceeds **Program Expectations** (Advanced) In GEOG 4203, 2 students qualified and performed above Proficient including performing Advanced in Outcome 4 (Mastering GIS software). In GEOG 4333, GISIS students shined compared to their GEOG BS counterparts. By comparison, they scored a full point higher at 3.58.4 students qualified for assessment and performed above Proficient and nearly Advanced in all 3 Outcomes. Ranking this course individually, it would be Advanced. Once again, as expected, GSIS students in GEOG 4343 performed much better at 3.67 than their GEOG BS counter parts by 2/3rds of a point. In this case, the 4 GSIS students scored near Advanced in all major Outcomes. In GEOG 4353, 3 students qualified again with a 3.67. Students in general performed at an Advanced level. <no data reported for GEOG 2344>

(09/27/2021)

Number of Students Assessed:

Number of Successful

How were students selected to participate in the assessment of this outcome?: Enrolled in the course and completed the

coursework

What do the findings suggest about student achievement of this learning outcome?: Our GSIS students are really quite

Use of Findings (Actions): No recommendations at this time. Goals to maintain enrollment and see these trends continue. (09/27/2021)

Outcomes

Assessment Methods

Findings

Use of Findings (Actions)

learning goals, and they are encouraged to rate students on the individual learning outcomes prior to determining major Outcome. final course grades.

* Learning Outcome Goal/Benchmark: **Timeline for Assessment:**

Yearly

Other Assessment Type:

good at what they've chosen to do. They meet or exceed program expectations in every

Applied Skills - Apply geospatial knowledge and skills to a range of problems faced by industry and the government.

Outcome Status: Active Planned Assessment Year: 2017 - 2018, 2019 - 2020, 2016 - 2017

Start Date: **Archived Date:**

Outcome Type: Skills Reason for Archival:

Each semester as needed. The faculty member responsible for overseeing GEOG 4943 will evaluate portfolios on a semester basis, depending on when the student completes their internship or research project.

* Learning Outcome Goal/Benchmark: Timeline for Assessment: Each

Semester

Other Assessment Type:

Reporting Period: 2020 - 2021 Conclusion: 1 - Does Not Meet **Program Expectations**

(Unacceptable) 3 students enrolled or were expected to enroll in GEOG 4943 for the Summer or Fall 2021 while completing their work of the Spring and Summer of 2021. Students continue to remain confused as to their expectations in the course despite being provided a syllabus, timeline, and explanation of advisors. No students completed 4943 for the 21 semester. (09/27/2021)

Number of Students Assessed:

Number of Successful

Students: 0

How were students selected to participate in the assessment of this outcome?: Gave intentions of enrolling in the course and/or attaining an

internship

What do the findings suggest about student achievement of this learning outcome?:

Students need better communication with their GIS advisor, their Academic Advisor and the Internship Coordinator

Use of Findings (Actions):

While it is understandable and commendable that efforts are made to provide students the opportunity to enroll in GEOG 4943 for the Fall 2021 session so that it counts towards enrollment and financial aid, this has led to extreme problems with students appropriately completing their internships. Recommendation: Students must enrolled in GEOG 4943 in the Summer that they attempt their internship. This is a strong recommendation. (09/27/2021)

Survey - Express positive feedback on their experience as a Geography undergraduate major and their preparedness for post-graduate employment.

Outcome Status: Active **Planned Assessment Year:** Timeline 4A: Exit Surveys will be administered approximately one month before students graduate each term (Fall, Spring, and Summer) and results will be aggregated. There are items that can be rated on a 0-4

Reporting Period: 2020 - 2021 Conclusion: 1 - Does Not Meet **Program Expectations** (Unacceptable) Exit surveys are under evaluation for this year. We are requesting official university

Use of Findings (Actions):

Retrieve exit surveys from University. Conduct our own. (09/27/2021)

Program Four Column Report

Outcomes

2017 - 2018, 2019 - 2020, 2016 - 2017

Start Date: **Archived Date:**

Outcome Type: Knowledge Reason for Archival:

Assessment Methods

scale and averaged, as well as open-ended items that cover a evaluate the whole system variety of topics of interest to the faculty. 14 Timeline 4B: The Survey of Alumni from Undergraduate Programs is administered in the spring of each even-numbered year, and results will thus be incorporated into the annual assessment report every other vear as available. * Learning Outcome

Goal/Benchmark: Timeline for Assessment:

Every other year

Other Assessment Type:

Findings

exit surveys, but plan to refinally for 2021-2022. (09/27/2021)

Number of Students Assessed:

Number of Successful

Students: 0

How were students selected to participate in the assessment

of this outcome?: N/A What do the findings suggest about student achievement of this learning outcome?: N/A

Annual Executive Summary

Annual Executive Summaries - 2020 - 2021

Use of Findings (Actions)

Executive Summary

Program Assessment Coordinator

Donald E Colley III

Plan Review and Approval

Date Current Plan Was Reviewed and Approved 09/27/2021

Date of Future Plan Review and Approval

09/01/2022

Summary of Assessment Findings

Describe overall assessment findings and faculty members' interpretation of the assessment results

GSIS major growth remains slow, but performance has drastically improved. High quality students performed above Proficient and nearly Advanced in all technical courses. Students need more clarity about GEOG 4943.

Dissemination of Findings

Describe the individual(s) or committee responsible for reviewing and interpreting assessment data

The Undergraduate Coordinator and Graduate Coordinator

Describe the process for sharing and discussing assessment findings with program faculty

Provided in oral and written format at the Fall Faculty Planning Meeting 2021

Program Improvements Based on Assessment

Based on data collected this year, what changes are being considered or planned for the program?

GEOG 4943 needs an overhaul from the way it was created by the original Instructor. Students also need to find suitable GIS internships. Must work with GIS Steering Committee and Academic Advisor to better facilitate internships.

Based on this year's findings, what (if any) changes are planned for the assessment process?

N/A

Describe the process for implementing these changes/planned program improvements

N/A

Program Improvements Made in the Last Year

Improved Faculty Understanding or Buy-In

"Other" Improvements

Goals for the Coming Year

Graduate 3 students, improve internship process

Is this program report ready for review?

Yes

This program report could not be completed due to low student enrollment

List all individuals associated with this report preparation

Don Colley

Jon Comer

Related Documents